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When I fielded trader surveys to study a range of actors in the post-harvest agri-food value chains (AVCs) in Madagascar in 1993, AVC research was quite uncommon, esp. in low-income countries. But market-oriented ag reforms were recent in low-income countries. And reforms were founded on great faith in existence/emergence of competitive AVCs to transmit macro- and sectoral policy, stimulate income/productivity, and generate inclusive growth. So understanding the structure, conduct and performance of AVCs seemed important then … and it still does.

Looking back over 25 years, what big questions from then linger today and **STILL** haven’t been adequately answered?
1. Who are the AVC intermediaries and how do they change over time and why?

– Researchers typically focus on profiling consumers/producers or households as net consumers/producers.
– Who invests? Who is employed? Who controls what shares?
– Much demonization of (often non-local ethnic) traders.

What we know is less representative than desired b/c of limits to hh surveys, selection bias of special purpose and sub-sector studies.
2. Lots of market entry occurs. But how much AVC firm growth/mobility is there? Why so limited? (Barrett *World Dev’t* 1997)

– Where are bottlenecks in the AVC?

– Are barriers to growth due to liquidity constraints? Skills constraints? Connections? Counterparty risk? Throughput or price risk? (Dis)economies of scope or scale?

– Many inferential challenges. RCTs challenging b/c ‘treatments’ of info, capital, training, etc. – and not just treatment effects – are typically (and unobservably) heterogeneous.
3. How and how fast and what do AVC actors learn about external changes? How does learning affect resulting equil?

– Disequilibrium is the norm, not the exception. It’s especially important to political economy and in settings where multiple equilibria exist.

– Much good recent work on farmer learning. But what about firms? How do AVC actors react to changing economic environments?
4. Are free(r) markets competitive? (Moser, Barrett & Minten *Ag Econ* 2009)

– Many actors does not imply an Arrow-Debreu economy.
– When are markets (workably) competitive and when not? Are there reliable ex ante predictors to inform targeting? (price transmission)
– What non-competitive behaviors arise and why?
  - e.g., Limit pricing (in which moments?)?
– When/why can non-competitive behavior be welfare-enhancing?
  - Monopoly/monopsony rents cover ex ante costs (like IP) – e.g., Dillon-Aker on PICS bags in Niger
  - Help set uniform standards if returns to standardization high
5. General equilibrium effects of AVC change?

As economies grow, producers become more efficient (TFP), consumers have greater income, and post-harvest AVCs absorb a larger share of retail consumer price of agri-food products. Thus AVCs becoming more important to understanding dev’t process.

Must understand how changes in AVCs affect:

– Farmer and farm worker well-being
– Food markets (price, quality and variety)
– Labor markets (employment and real wages)
– Induced technological and institutional change. Esp if exchange technologies and production technologies are complements … e.g., processing technologies needing minimum throughput
6. Where in value chain are interventions most effective? Know little about where to target within AVCs.

– Insurance against production or asset shocks
– Mineral/vitamin enrichment of foods
– Reduce food loss and waste
– etc.
Lots of smart people have generated much insight on AVCs over past 25 years.

But there remain many lingering questions in need of serious research attention.
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